

Spring 2013 Course Evaluation Report for PS48101_2013S RES PRAC/BEHAVIOR NEUROSC - Christina Reppucci

Response Table

| Spring 2013 | |
|----------------|----------|
| Raters | Students |
| Responded | 11 |
| Invited | 14 |
| Response Ratio | 78.57% |

Course Related Questions for PS48101_2013S RES PRAC/BEHAVIOR NEUROSC-Distribution of Responses






| Statistics | Value |
|--------------------|---------|
| Mean | 4.64 |
| Median | 5.00 |
| Mode | 5 |
| Standard Deviation | +/-0.50 |

3. Class attendance was necessary for learning course material.

| 5 Strongly Agree | 7 | 63.64% | | | _ |
|---------------------|----|--------|----|-----|------|
| 4 Agree | 4 | 36.36% | | | _ |
| 3 Uncertain | 0 | 0.00% | | | |
| 2 Disagree | 0 | 0.00% | - | | _ |
| 1 Strongly Disagree | 0 | 0.00% | _ | | _ |
| N/A N/A | 0 | 0.00% | | | |
| Total | 11 | | 0% | 50% | 100% |

| Statistics | Value |
|--------------------|---------|
| Mean | 4.64 |
| Median | 5.00 |
| Mode | 5 |
| Standard Deviation | +/-0.50 |

2. The course generally followed the syllabus.



| Statistics | Value |
|--------------------|---------|
| Mean | 4.82 |
| Median | 5.00 |
| Mode | 5 |
| Standard Deviation | +/-0.40 |


4. The course was intellectually challenging.

| Total | 11 | | 0% | 50% | 100% |
|---------------------|----|--------|----|-----|------|
| N/A N/A | 0 | 0.00% | | | |
| 1 Strongly Disagree | 0 | 0.00% | | | _ |
| 2 Disagree | 0 | 0.00% | | | _ |
| 3 Uncertain | 0 | 0.00% | | | |
| 4 Agree | 4 | 36.36% | | | _ |
| 5 Strongly Agree | 7 | 63.64% | | | _ |

| Statistics | Value |
|--------------------|---------|
| Mean | 4.64 |
| Median | 5.00 |
| Mode | 5 |
| Standard Deviation | +/-0.50 |

Course Related Questions for PS48101_2013S RES PRAC/BEHAVIOR NEUROSC- Distribution of Responses (continued)

Compared to similar courses (ie core, major, etc), this course required:



How would you rate this course overall?

| Total | 11 | | 0% | 50% | 1009 |
|-------------|-----|--------|----|-----|------|
| N/A N/A | 0 | 0.00% | | | |
| 1 Poor | 0 | 0.00% | | | |
| 2 Fair | 1 | 9.09% | | | |
| 3 Good | 2 | 18.18% | | | |
| 4 Very Good | 4 4 | 36.36% | | | |
| 5 Excellent | | | | | |

| Statistics | Value |
|--------------------|---------|
| Mean | 4.00 |
| Median | 4.00 |
| Mode | 5, 4 |
| Standard Deviation | +/-1.00 |

Instructor Questions for (Christina Reppucci)- Distribution of Responses

1. The instructor was prepared.

| Total | 11 | | 0% | 50% | 100% |
|---------------------|----|--------|----|-----|------|
| N/A N/A | 0 | 0.00% | | 0 | |
| 1 Strongly Disagree | 0 | 0.00% | | | _ |
| 2 Disagree | 0 | 0.00% | | | _ |
| 3 Uncertain | 0 | 0.00% | | | _ |
| 4 Agree | 2 | 18.18% | | | - |
| 5 Strongly Agree | 9 | 81.82% | | | |


| Statistics | Value |
|--------------------|---------|
| Mean | 4.82 |
| Median | 5.00 |
| Mode | 5 |
| Standard Deviation | +/-0.40 |

3. The instructor returned assignments/tests conscientiously.

| 5 Strongly Agree | 8 | 72.73% | | 1.1 | |
|---------------------|----|--------|----|-----|------|
| 4 Agree | 3 | 27.27% | | | |
| 3 Uncertain | 0 | 0.00% | | | |
| 2 Disagree | 0 | 0.00% | | - | |
| 1 Strongly Disagree | 0 | 0.00% | | - | |
| N/A N/A | 0 | 0.00% | | | |
| Total | 11 | | 0% | 50% | 100% |

| Statistics | Value |
|--------------------|---------|
| Mean | 4.73 |
| Median | 5.00 |
| Mode | 5 |
| Standard Deviation | +/-0.47 |

5. The instructor stimulated interest in the subject 6. The instructor's explanations were clear. matter.



| Statistics | Value |
|--------------------|---------|
| Mean | 4.45 |
| Median | 5.00 |
| Mode | 5 |
| Standard Deviation | +/-0.82 |

2. The instructor was available for help outside of class.

| Total | 11 | | 0% | 50% | 100% |
|---------------------|----|--------|----|-----|------|
| N/A N/A | 0 | 0.00% | | | 1 |
| 1 Strongly Disagree | 0 | 0.00% | | | _ |
| 2 Disagree | 0 | 0.00% | | | |
| 3 Uncertain | 0 | 0.00% | | | |
| 4 Agree | 3 | 27.27% | | | |
| 5 Strongly Agree | 8 | 72.73% | | 1 | |

| Statistics | Value |
|--------------------|---------|
| Mean | 4.73 |
| Median | 5.00 |
| Mode | 5 |
| Standard Deviation | +/-0.47 |

4. The instructor showed enthusiasm about the subject matter.

| Total | 11 | | 0% | 509 | % | 100% |
|---------------------|----|--------|----|-----|---|------|
| N/A N/A | 0 | 0.00% | | | | _ |
| 1 Strongly Disagree | 0 | 0.00% | | | | - |
| 2 Disagree | 0 | 0.00% | | - 2 | | - |
| 3 Uncertain | 0 | 0.00% | | 1 | | - |
| 4 Agree | 2 | 18.18% | | | | _ |
| 5 Strongly Agree | 9 | 81.82% | | | | |

| Statistics | Value |
|--------------------|---------|
| Mean | 4.82 |
| Median | 5.00 |
| Mode | 5 |
| Standard Deviation | +/-0.40 |

| Total | 11 | | 0% | 50% | 100% |
|---------------------|----|--------|----|-----|------|
| N/A N/A | 0 | 0.00% | | | |
| 1 Strongly Disagree | 0 | 0.00% | | 4 | _ |
| 2 Disagree | 0 | 0.00% | | | _ |
| 3 Uncertain | 1 | 9.09% | | - | _ |
| 4 Agree | 3 | 27.27% | | | _ |
| 5 Strongly Agree | 7 | 63.64% | | | |

| Statistics | Value |
|--------------------|---------|
| Mean | 4.55 |
| Median | 5.00 |
| Mode | 5 |
| Standard Deviation | +/-0.69 |


Instructor Questions for (Christina Reppucci)- Distribution of Responses (continued)

7. The instructor treated students with respect.

| 5 Strongly Agree | 9 | 90.00% | | - 1 | |
|---------------------|----|--------|----|------|-------|
| 4 Agree | 1 | 10.00% | | - 78 | - |
| 3 Uncertain | 0 | 0.00% | | | - |
| 2 Disagree | 0 | 0.00% | | | - |
| 1 Strongly Disagree | 0 | 0.00% | | | - |
| N/A N/A | 0 | 0.00% | | | |
| Total | 10 | | 0% | 50% | 100% |
| Statistics | | | | | Value |
| Mean | | | | | 4.90 |
| Median | | | | | 5.00 |
| | | | | | |

Mode5Standard Deviation+/-0.32

How would you rate this instructor overall as a teacher?



Course Questions for PS48101_2013S RES PRAC/BEHAVIOR NEUROSC - Benchmarks


| 1. The course was well organized. | Course Average 4.64 Dept Avg (Psychology) 4.20 School (College of Arts and Sciences) 4.33 Boston College 4.34 0.00 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00 5.00 |
|--|---|
| 2. The course generally followed the syllabus. | Course Average 4.82 Dept Avg (Psychology) 4.38 School (College of Arts and Sciences) 4.40 Boston College 4.43 0.00 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00 5.00 |
| 3. Class attendance was necessary for learning course material. | Course Average 4.64 Dept Avg (Psychology) 3.89 School (College of Arts and Sciences) 4.14 Boston College 4.15 0.00 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00 5.00 |
| 4. The course was intellectually challenging. | Course Average 4.64 Dept Avg (Psychology) 4.14 School (College of Arts and Sciences) 4.28 Boston College 4.28 0.00 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00 5.00 |
| Course Average 3.18 Dept Avg (Psychology) 3.37 School (College of Arts and Sciences) 3.48 Boston College 3.48 0.00 | 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00 5.00 |
| Course Average 4.00 Dept Avg (Psychology) 3.60 School (College of Arts and Sciences) 3.72 Boston College 3.76 0.00 | 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00 5.00 |

Instructor Questions for (Christina Reppucci) - Benchmarks

| 1. The instructor was prepared. | Course Average 4.82 |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| | Dept Avg (Psychology) 4.43 |
| | School (College of Arts and Sciences) 4.53 |
| | Boston College 4.54 |
| | 0.00 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00 5.00 |
| 2. The instructor was available for | Course Average 4.73 |
| help outside of class. | Dept Avg (Psychology) 4.08- |
| | School (College of Arts and Sciences) 4.31 |
| | Boston College 4.34 |
| | |
| | 0.00 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00 5.00 |
| 3. The instructor returned | Course Average 4.73- |
| assignments/tests conscientiously. | Dept Avg (Psychology) 4.07- |
| | School (College of Arts and Sciences) 4.33 |
| | Boston College 4.37 |
| | 0.00 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00 5.00 |
| | 0.00 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00 3.00 |
| 4. The instructor showed | Course Average 4.82- |
| enthusiasm about the subject | Dept Avg (Psychology) 4.51 |
| matter. | School (College of Arts and Sciences) 4.59 |
| | Boston College 4.61 |
| | |
| | |
| 5. The instructor stimulated interest | Course Average 4.45- |
| in the subject matter. | Dept Avg (Psychology) 4.16- |
| | School (College of Arts and Sciences) 4.22 |
| | Boston College 4.26- |
| | 0.00 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00 5.00 |
| 6. The instructor's explanations | |
| were clear. | Course Average 4.55 |
| | Dept Avg (Psychology) 4.16 |
| | School (College of Arts and Sciences) 4.22 |
| | Boston College 4.24 |
| | 0.00 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00 5.00 |
| 7. The instructor treated students | Course Average 4.90- |
| with respect. | Dept Avg (Psychology) 4.43 |
| | School (College of Arts and Sciences) 4.57 |
| | Boston College 4.59 |
| | |
| | 0.00 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00 5.00 |
| | |

Instructor Questions for (Christina Reppucci) - Benchmarks (continued)

How would you rate this instructor overall as a teacher?



Comments for PS48101_2013S RES PRAC/BEHAVIOR NEUROSC - Christina Reppucci

What are the strengths of this course?

Comment

This course did a great job of giving a broad overview of research methods used in psychology.

-Class usually went on with student presentations and then Christina's lectures. At first, I found the student presentation horrifying, but I would've never learned how to present properly without taking this class. Because I am listener and the presenter at the same time, I learned to present effectively and leaving out the details so people would not get bored. By the middle of the semester, this class had become my favorite class because I started to enjoy listening to presentations and imagine how we could make more impact in the future. Also during final presentation, I enjoyed listening more because the ideas came right out from my peers. -Christina is a great lecturer and capable of getting students interested in the subject manner. I wish I had took this class earlier because it allows one to know research methods comprehensively, but course didnt exist last year.

this class provided the students great opportunities to refine our research skills

Small class, not intimidating to ask questions.

The strengths of the class were that the material was interesting to learn and the instructor made the material fun and interesting to learn.

How could the instructor improve the course?

Comment

The instructor could incorporate more classroom discussion about the assigned readings and a little less time on lecture.

n/a

Hold students responsible for the content learned in the course. Knowing that I would never be tested on the specific material allowed me to not pay attention in class, and I felt as though I was wasting my time.

There is no need to improve the course other than a couple of days of bad luck were we didn't have class. Things happen though.

Would you recommend this course to other students, majors, etc.? Why or why not?

Comment

I would recommend this course to other Psychology B.S. majors because the material covered is pretty advanced. Also, this course involved a lot of reading of psychology research papers, so the lay student would not be able to grasp the concepts as quickly.

-I definitely recommend this course to anyone who is interested in research. This class had taught me the importance and value of keeping everything the same except for the independent variable, and also taught me that research in psychology is very carefully controlled like in other scientific field. It gave me a great credibility in neuroscience.

yes, it's very interesting

I got experience giving presentations but felt as though, since I was never tested on the material, I didn't learn much.

I would definitely recommend this course to my peers. It was a fun class and the assignments were manageable and I learned a lot.

Additional Comments:

Comment

Thanks for a great semester. Awesome job for your first class you ever taught! Baked goods were appreciative and

Christina was great as an instructor! I wish her the best of luck in her future!

Responses to Customized Questions for PS48101_2013S RES PRAC/BEHAVIOR NEUROSC - Christina Reppucci